कलकत्ता आई०बी० में काम करते हैं। उनको यहां भेजा जाता है। हैदराबाद से आते हैं, मद्रास से आते हैं या दूसरे राज्यों से इंटेलीजेंस डिपार्टमेंट से आकर मेंबरों का सरविलेंस करते हैं। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि क्या कारण है कि मेंबरों पर सरविलेंस किया जाता है? क्या सरकार का संसद सदस्यों पर से विश्वास उठ गया है?

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Madam, they can use these closed circuit cameras also to monitor what is happening in the Chamber. This is another surveilance which is started in the name of security.

श्री एस०एस० अहलुवालिया: सिक्योरिटी के नाम पर हम किस हद तक जायेंगे यह मैं जानना चाहता हूं। घर के बाहर अगर कोई दुकान लगाकर बैठा है उसके पास कोई कस्टमर नहीं आता वह खाली घंटी बजाता रहता है तो इसके बारे में सोचना पड़ेगा। हो सकता है वह मेरे घर की सरविलेंस कर रहा हो। यह बात बड़ी दुर्भाग्यपूर्ण है। सरकार को इस बारे में सोचना चाहिये। अगर पुलिस बिना सरकार की परमिशन के कोई ऐसा काम कर रही है यह गैरजिम्मेदाराना है। इस पर सरकार को सख्ती से कार्रवाई करनी चाहिये।

RE. REMAND FOR CBI ENQUIRY IN TAMIL NADU CASE

विपक्ष के नेता (श्री सिकन्दर बख्त): सदर साहिबा, कल होम मिनिस्टर साहब ने एक बयान दिया था। मैं उस बयान का सिर्फ एक हिस्सा पढ़कर सुनाना चाहता हूं –

†شری وردھی دل "شری سکندر بخت: صدر صاحبہ - کل ہوم منسٹر صاحب نے ایک بیان دیا تھا - میں اس بیان کا صرف ایک حصہ پڑھ کر سُنانا چاہتا ہوں -

†[ ] Transliteration in Arabic Script.

The Home Minister said, "But I have full faith in the Tamil Nadu police. If they are able to investigate into the matter, certainly we don't have any objection. But if the Chief Minister herself feels that the CBI should enquire into the matter and as some of the hon. Members are also expressing the view that the CBI should enquire into the matter, I have no objection provided I get a written request..." The hon. Home Minister also said... (*Interruptions*)...

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN (Tamil Nadu): Madam, I have taken permission...

SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT: Let me finish. (*Interruptions*). Just a minute. The Home Minister also said, "A mere public statement is not enough. She has to write to us that she wants to have a CBI enquiry." (*Interruptions*).

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Madam, I have taken permission. (*Interruptions.*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sikander Bakht... (*Interruptions*).

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Madam, I have got permission from the Chairman. (*Interruptions*).

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bakht, Mr. Swaminathan has taken permission from the Chairman. His name is there. I will allow you to associate yourself. If he permits you to speak I have no objection.

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Madam, yesterday this matter was raised here. I have got the records also. The Home Minister very frankly said. "But I have full faith in the Tamil Nadu Police. If they are able to investigate into the matter, certainly we don't have any objection. But if the Chief Minister herself feels that the CBI should enquire into the matter and as some of the hon. members are also expressing the view that the CBI should enquire into the matter, I have

no objection provided I get a written request...." Mr. S. Jaipal Reddy objected to it. He asked, "Why don't you seize the opportunity and announce the CBI enquiry?" The Home Minister very categorically stated, "A mere public statement is not enough. She has to write to us that she wants to have a CBI enquiry." The Tamil Nadu Chief Minister, Ms. Jayalalitha gave a statement in the Press. It says,

"Ms. Jayalalitha pointed out that the Tamil Nadu Chief Secretary had written to the Union Home Secretary on August 17 conveying the State Government's request to the Centre for entrusting the case to the CBI for valid reasons. This letter was received in the Union Home Secretary's office on August 18 and was acknowledged. The Chief Minister said it was understood that the request was being processed by the Union Home Ministry and this was confirmed by the Special Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, Mr. V. K. Jain, to the Tamil Nadu Chief Secretary, this morning."

Madam, the Home Minister has misled the House by giving wrong information that the Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu has not written for a CBI enquiry. The Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu has already written and it has been acknowledged by the Home Ministry. They have talked over telephone also. How could the Home Minister without varifying with his own people make a statement which has misled the whole House? He should have been aware of this fact. He should have consulted his own officers before making a statement in this august House. That is my point.

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. B. CHAVAN): Madam, may I clarify the position? What the hon. Member has said about my statement, is a fact. I am hundred per cent accepting the responsibility for the statement. First of all, I would like to understand: do you or don't you want a Minister to react? When we react, if you are going to raise a question of privilege then the fisrt thing we have to do is not to react to any of the questions that you will ask. Let me make matters very clear. The second thing which I would like to bring to Mr. Swaminathan's notice is that she said this on 17th August. Just now I have got this information that even if the Government wants to take a decision to refer this matter to the CBI there is a particular proforma in which a request has to come from the Tamil Nadu Government. Then only we can entrust this work to the CBI. If you are going to make issues out of issues, I don't know whether we should react or we should not react to any of the points which are raised during Zero Hour. Everybody goes on shouting, "Let the Home Minister react, let the this Minister react." How can we react? know anything. I will first see the records Then I will have to tell you. "I don't and thereafter come to the House." In fact I am very much keen that should react on some important issues.

But if matters are being raised in this House saying that this is a privi- of course. I will not react. That is the only thing I want to say ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT: Madam ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: I have not raised it as a privilege issue. I only sought clarification from the hon. Home Minister.

SHRI KAMAL MORARKA (Rajasthan): Did the Tamil Nadu Government ask or not ask? That is the question.

श्री सिकन्दर बख्त : सदर साहिबा, मैं यह अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि होम मिनिस्टर का इस वक्त सवाल रिऐक्ट करने का या न करने का नहीं था। सवाल यह था कि जो कुछ आपने फरमाया था कि अगर वह हमसे लिख कर रेक्वेस्ट करेंगे तो हम सी.बी.आई की इंक्वायरी मान लेंगे। देखिये, आपने इस वक्त परफार्मे का सवाल उठाया। आपके बयान में यह मौजूद है कि तमिलनाडु की चीफ मिनिस्टर की तरफ से, जब आपके जूनियर मिनिस्टर विजिट कर रहे थे तो उन्होंने यह उनसे जबानी भी कहा। क्या आपके जूनियर मिनिस्टर से चीफ मिनिस्टर साहिबा से यह कहा कि आप मुझसे जबानी मत कहिये, परफार्मा में लिखकर दीजिये। इसमें कहीं न कहीं गड़बड़ है और हालात की कोई स्वीकेंस , कोई सिक्नुनाइजेशन बाकया का नहीं है । आज आपने यह फरमाया कि चीफ मिनिस्टर परफार्मे पर लिखकर दें तब आप सी.बी.आई. की इंक्वायरी करायेंगे, जब आपके जूनियर मिनिस्टर तमिलनाडु के विजिट कर रहे मिनिस्टर साहिबा ने जब उनसे कहा कि आप सी.बी.आई. की इंक्वायरी कराइये तो आपके जूनियर ने चीफ मिनिस्टर साहिबा से यह कहा कि परफार्मा लिख कर दस्तख्त करें। **I mean this has become strange.** बात समझ में नहीं आती।

سری سکندر بخت "مدھیہ پردیش" :- سدر صاحبہ - میں یہ عرض کرنا چاہتا ہوں کہ ہوم منسٹر کا اس وقت سوال ری ایکٹ کرنے کا یا نہ کرنے کا نہیں تھا - سوال یہ تھا کہ جو کچھ آپ نے فرمایا تھا کہ اگر وہ ہم سے لکھ کر ریکویسٹ کریں گے تو ہم سی - بی - آئی - کی انکوائری مان لیں گے - دیکھئے - آپ نے اس وقت پرفارمے کا سوال اٹھایا - آپ کے بیان میں یہ موجود ہے کہ تمل ناڈو کی چیف منسٹر کی طرف سے - جب آپ کے جونیئر منسٹر وزٹ کر رہے تھے تو انھوں نے یہ اُن سے زبانی بھی کہا - کیا آپ کے جونیئر منسٹر سے چیف منسٹر صاحبہ نے یہ کہا کہ آپ مجھ سے زبانی مت کہئے - پرفارما پر لکھ کر دیجئے - اس میں کہیں نہ کہیں گڑبڑ ہے - اور حالات کی کوئی اسوسیئنس ، کوئی سنکرونائزیشن واقعات کا نہیں ہے - آج آپ نے فرمایا کہ چیف منسٹر پرفارما پر لکھ کر دے تب آپ سی - بی - آئی - انکوائری کرائیں گے جب آپ کے جونیئر منسٹر تمل ناڈو کی وزٹ کر رہے تھے تو چیف منسٹر صاحبہ نے جب اُن سے کہا کہ سی - بی - آئی انکوائری کرائیے تو آپ کے جونیئر نے چیف منسٹر صاحبہ سے یہ کہا کہ پرفارما پر لکھ کر دستخط کریں -

Transliteration in Arabic Script.

SHRI S. B. CHAVAN: I do not know whether I should or should not. But the fact still remains that even if the junior or senior Ministers were to go and discuss the matter with the Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu, thereafter, it is the Government which has to take the decision that they would like to refer this matter to the CBI. If that decision is taken, then we request that now we have taken a decision to refer the matter to the CBI; you have to ... (Interruptions) ...

SHRI SIKANDER BAKHT: I have no quarrel with the Home Minister on this matter. What I want to know is : what is it that the Junior Minister told the Chief Minister?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA) : How will he know what he has told?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: That is the end of the mattter and the Home Minister is not supposed to know it just now.

SHRI YASHWANT SINHA (Bihar): Madam, I had no wish to interfere. But I think the choice of words which the hon. Home Minister and the Leader of this House has made is unfortunate. When he referred to the Members shouting for a response from the Home Minister, I don't think it was a proper use of that expression. The point is, we do expect the Government represented by the Ministers in this House to respond. We do insist at times that the Government should respond. The Government is expected to respond on the basis of information which is in the possession of the Government. The Home Minister will be perfectly justified in telling us that at that point of time he did not have the information that the Tamil Nadu Government had written to the Home Ministry on the 17th. He did not know it on the 19th. He will be perfectly justified in saying "I will check the records and get back". He can tell the Member raising the issue personally. But do not please threaten the House that Ministers of the Government will not respond in future. This was just a point being raised because this information came to the Home Ministry, the letter was received by the Home Ministry on the 17th and you are responding in this House two days thereafter. That is the reason...(Interruptions)...I will request the Home Minister not to raise other issues which are not connected.

RE. ALLEGED IRREGULARITIES IN DEPARTMENT OF CULTURE

श्री विष्णु कांत शास्त्री (उत्तर प्रदेश) : उपसभापति महोदया, मैं आपका आभारी हूं जो आपने इस महत्वपूर्ण विषय पर सदन और भारत सरकार का ध्यान आकृष्ट करने के लिये मुझे अवसर दिया।

महोदया, पिछले कुछ वर्षों से लगातार ये शिकायतें होती रहती थीं कि भारत सरकार के संस्कृति विभाग, विशेषकर साहित्य अकादमी, ललित कला अकादमी, संगीत नाटक अकादमी और राष्ट्रीय नाटक महाविद्यालय में कुछ अनियमितितायें हैं, कुछ विकृतियां हैं। इन सबका अध्ययन करने के लिये, उनके संबंध में एक सुचिंतित प्रतिवेदन देने के लिये भारत सरकार ने 24 मार्च, 1988 को श्री पी.एन. हक्सर की अध्यक्षता में एक समिति गठित की थी। इस समिति ने अपना काम विस्तार से कर एक महत्वपूर्ण प्रतिवेदन जुलाई, 1990 में भारत सरकार को दिया। हम सब लोगों को इस बात की बहुत प्रसन्नता है कि वर्तमान भारत सरकार ने और संस्कृति मंत्री महोदय ने उन